From:

Nagle, Deborah

Sent:

Friday, June 06, 2014 5:17 PM

To:

Mitschele, Becky; Yager, Scott

Subject:

Progressive Farmer FOIA \_ Responsive Records 5of 11FW: (state)Amended Freedom of

Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Attachments:

Garrigan.pdf; Dunn.pdf

From: Wiedeman, Allison

Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2013 5:03 PM

To: adunn@acwa-us.org; lgarrigan@ecos.org

Cc: Gilinsky, Ellen; Bittleman, Sarah; Nagle, Deborah; Sawyers, Andrew; Mitschele, Becky; Neugeboren, Steven;

srolland@acwa-us.org

Subject: (state)Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Dear ACWA and ECOS -

After careful review of the state CAFO data requested and released via FOIA to NRDC, EarthJustice and Pew, EPA will be providing a second amended version of this data. The new data contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted.

Please find attached the letter that was mailed to each of you today along with a new CD of responsive records that were shared with the requesters. If you have any questions please feel free to call me at 202-564-0901.

Allison Wiedeman, Chief Rural Branch Water Permits Division, Office of Water 202-564-0901 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington, DC 20460

From Nagle Debotah
Sent: Froay June US 2014 5 17 PM
To: Witschele Secry, Yager Soct
Subject: Progressive Farmer FOIA Re
Information Act Response # 20

Costille damabel Western

Same Tuesday, Jun 10, 2013 5:03 PM

ราย เป็นการเดินสอบกายระบาท เฉลาสามารถให้ความโดย เพราะ

CF Silinciky Ellen, Bittleiman, Sarah; Kagirs, Deborah, Sawyers, Andrew. Mitschelle, Becks. Neugeboren, Steven,

Subject: (state lanceded in eadom of information Act Response # 2012/1337 and 2012-15 to

2002 Ben AMOA ....

After each of review of the sine traffolds reclassed and released via FOIA to MRDC. Earthburder and Pew, EPA will be provedured second unusual version of this date. The new dries contains all information released through the first entended respective and the first reseased for operations in Montana and Nebrusha has been futteer released.

Please find anadout the letter that was mailed to each of you taday along with a new CD in regions re-receitly that diere strengt number is present. If you have any questions placed fiel free to call are at 20 - 50 + 600.

Mileson of exception chart

02.M M 1010 AM

그림부터 열등 동물에서는 아이지 않아 그림을 그렇게 되어 다니다.

Se Armin average 1949 Unit 1

Wrightenman of 20000



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Lee Garrigan
Senior Project Manager
The Environmental Council of the States
50 F Street, Suite 350
Washington, DC 20001

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

#### Dear Lee Garrigan:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



#### UNITED STOTES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENC

BUNCH SHOT SHOW AND SHOW

April 36, 2013

Lee Carrigat
Senior Project Manager
The Favironmental Council of the States
50 b Treet, Suite 350
Westmaren, TXC 20001

R.F. Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

TREET SELECTION

The purpose of this latter is to provide you a second attention to the abovereterenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. environmental
Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Freeding
Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first
amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and
Nebrasica has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional names of individuals.

Securphone numbers, personal addresses, and natulious about private metters in these two states
parentials in FOIA Exemption 6, 5 1, 3 C § 532(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks from the west of February 10 and April 4) and that say electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Beeky Mitschele, EFA, MC 4203M.

1700 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460, We also request that you confirm that you bear accuraged all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Beeky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Simoetrel?

Alhson P. Wiedermer, Chief

Cural Brancin

Office of Wastewater Management

daily postulate |



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Alexandra Dunn Executive Director Association of Clean Water Administrators 1221 Connecticut Avenue, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Washington, DC 20036

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Ms. Dunn:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENC

April 30, 2013

Alexandra Director

Executive Director

Association of Clean Water Administrator

[25] Connecticut Avenue, 174 Floor

Machington, OC 19036

RE Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Dear Ms. Dunn

The purpose of this letter is to provide out a secund amended response to the abovereferenced Freedom of Information Act (FOEA) request for data the U.S. Environmental
Encenon Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Annual Feeding
interations (CAFOs). The enclosed link contains all information released through the first
unteracted response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and
Schristia has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional natures of individuals
telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states
pursuant to ECHA Exemption 6, 3 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

Fam requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from firese disks be destroyed. Please return the arcylinosly released disks to Becky Mitschele: EPA, MIC 4203NL 1°00 Pennsylvania Aver, Washington De. 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have developed at 1°00 Pennsylvania at 1°00 Pennsylvania Please contact metal (202) 564-090 I or Bocky Marshele at 1°00 Between the matter.

Stroomly

Mison P. Wiedeman, Chert

domini Branch

Office of Wastewater Vinnagendri

John teams mel

From:

Nagle, Deborah

Sent:

Friday, June 06, 2014 5:18 PM

To:

Mitschele, Becky; Yager, Scott

Subject:

Progressive Farmer FOIA \_ Responsive Records 6 of 11FW: FW: Amended Freedom of

Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Attachments:

Bennett.pdf; Bredwell.pdf; Colville.pdf; Formica.pdf; Hebert.pdf; Inall.pdf; Kelley.pdf;

McDonald.pdf; Parrish.pdf; Richter.pdf

From: Wiedeman, Allison

Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2013 5:00 PM

**To:** formicam@nppc.org; donp@fb.org; amcdonald@beef.org; lkelley@ncfc.org; mcolville@chickenusa.org; crichter@thepolicygroup.com; dinall@unitedegg.com; rbennett@nmpf.org; pbredwell@poultryegg.org; tom.hebert@bayardridge.com

Cc: Bittleman, Sarah; Gilinsky, Ellen; Nagle, Deborah; Sawyers, Andrew; Mitschele, Becky; Neugeboren, Steven

Subject: FW: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Dear Animal Agriculture Stakeholders,

After careful review of the state CAFO data requested and released via FOIA to NRDC, EarthJustice and Pew, EPA will be providing a second amended version of this data. The new data contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted.

Please find attached the letter that was mailed to each of you today along with a new CD of responsive records that were shared with the requesters. If you have any questions please feel free to call me at 202-564-0901.

Sincerely,

Allison Wiedeman, Chief Rural Branch Water Permits Division, Office of Water 202-564-0901 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington, DC 20460

Ejom. Sant. Tr Subject:

wages Debuted
Finday June 06 2014 5118 Fta
Mindhele, Becky, 1 ages Footi Progressive Filmset FOVA \_ Residented to the Footier FOVA \_ Residented to the Footies of Poties of Poties of Poties Footies Residented to the Footies Residented Total Re

kut Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516 Bredwell odf Cotylle odf Formics odf Hetter odf Institution Kall

Section by the Bredwell pdf. Cotylile pdf. Formics pdf. Herbert pdf. Intil pdi. Katey on AcDonald pdf. Parrish pdf. Richter pdf.

North Agentient Alltion

Seed Turneday april 30, 1015 5:00 test

Light formitien mötingput engalette ong, amedemald @beef.ong.likeliey@netil.com; incolvible@thickentss.ong Lind her ær nepolicygroup; com; dinab@united/eg.com; mennett@nmpf.org; placetweil@poultr-agg.org; Egen hebert@tssamhidge.com

Ct: Bittle man, fleralt, Gifersky, allen, Nagle, Deboruk, Sawyers, Andrew, Mitschele, Becky, Neugoperen, Sferm Subject: RW: Amended Freedom of Information Act Responsess 2012-1337 and 1912-1316

Dear Animal Auragation States address

After constituents, and are stored to the Country of the contains of internation related through the first of the contains of internation of the data of the data contains of internation related through the first of a related to a special contains at Alemanus Science as been finded to the their stores of the contains at Alemanus Science as been finded.

Aleese had discussed the letter that was qualled an enait or you reday along with a new vital responsive research that was ansated vital fine a question of the contract of 200 for the contract of 20

vlampont?"

Alusan Windernas Umet yeaf Bragnek Witter Fremes Division Office July Canagogis Ford See Joseph



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Ryan Bennett Director, Government Relations National Milk Producers Federation 2101 Wilson Blvd., Suite 400 Arlington, VA 22201

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Bennett:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGERC

April 30, 2013

Eyer Bengett
Director, Government Keistions
Varienti Milk Producers Foderation
2101 Wilson Bind, State 400
2101 Wilson W.A. 22201

122 Amended Freedom of Information Act Response 2/2012-11.11 and 2012-15 for

Bear vir Bermen.

The purpose of this letter is to provide your a second amended response to the abovereferenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental
Frotection Agency (FPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Amend Leeding
Concentrates (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released (horages to e first
amended response, encept that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and
Nebruska has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional names of individuals,
scientism to FOIA Exemption in S.U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of homeity 10 and type! 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing reformation from these disks or destroy at Picase return the previously released disks to Booky Mitschole. If A. MC 1203M.

200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you coeffirm that you descript discrete the stroy ed all copies of this information. Picase contact me at (202) 504-0001 or Rects. Mitschele at (202) 504-6418 If you have any questions concerning this matter.

vioreoni2

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chie

Parent Branch

Office of Wastewater Management

Enclosed dusic



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Paul J. Bredwell III, P.E. Vice President - Environmental Programs U. S. Poultry & Egg Association 1530 Cooledge Road Tucker, Georgia 30084

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Bredwell:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely.

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



#### CHITED STATES ENVISORMENTAL PROTECTION AGENT

April 30, 2013

Paul J. Bredwell III. P. E.
Vice Prevident - Environmental Program
U. S. Poultry & Egg Association
1.536 Cooledge Road
Tucker Georgia 30000

RECAMENDED Freeding of Information Act Response # 2012-133 Fact 2012-1316

Desc Mr. Bredwell

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to me abovereferenced Freedom or Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency (FPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Freeding
Uperations (CAFOS). The enclosed disk contains all information refersive through the first
amended response; except that the data the EPA reference for operations in Montana and
Networks has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional numbers of individuals,
teleprome numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two state
pursuam to FOIA Exemption 6, S.U.S.C. § \$52(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously rejeased disks (from the wask of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks he bestroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Bectry Mitachele, EPA, MC 4203M.

2.00 Pennsylvania A et., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you continu that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at 202) 5644901 or beerly Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 (Lyou have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely.

Altison P Wiedeman, Chic

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management

Inclused disk



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Mary Colville Vice President of Government Affairs National Chicken Council 1152 Fifteenth Street, NW, Suite 430 Washington, D.C. 20005-2622

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Ms. Colville:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely.

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



#### DRUGGE STATES SAVIND NAMED FACTORS SOLVED TO SECURE

SHORY OF MATERIAL IN

April 30, 2013

Mary Colville
Vice President of Gevenment Affairs
National Chicker Council
102.1 (teanth stress, NW suns 420
Weshinston, D.C., 8:005-2622

RE Amended incodes of Information Act Response # 2012-1331 and 2012-1516.

Dec Vis Chiville

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the abovereferenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data tise (1.5). Encuronally
Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Archival Feeding
Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk comains all information released through the first
amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and
Nebrusian has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional names of individuals,
telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in those two state
parsuant to POIA Exception 6.5 L.S.C. § \$52(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, Mt. 4203 M. 1200 Pennsylvanta Ave., Washington DC 20460, We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-6901 or Locky Mitschele at (202) 564-6901 or Locky Mitschele.

Simport ly

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chet

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management

lite tember



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Michael C. Formica, Esq. Chief Environmental Counsel National Pork Producers Council 122 C Street, NW, Suite 875 Washington, D.C. 20001

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Formica:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



### DAPTED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION ASSAULT VASHINGTON D.C. 20160

April 30, 2013

PERMIT AND STREET

Michael © Formica: Esq. Chief Environmental Counsel Sational Park Producers Council (22 © Street, NW, Suite 875 Washington, D.C. 2000)

RE Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Dear Mr. Formica

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response in the abovereferenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for state the U.S. Environmental 
Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding 
Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first 
are coded response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montains and 
Nebruska has been further redacted. The EPA released for operations in Montains and 
Sebruska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional as mes of individuals 
releptone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private nations in these two state 
pursuent to FOIA Exemption 6.5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

Lum requesting that you return the previously released disks those the week of February of and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele. EPA, MC 4203 M. 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 10660. We also request that you confirm that you destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or freeky Mitschele at 1021 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

**Vistootti** 

Allison F. Wiedeman, Chref

donerill leuril

Office of Wastervater Management

ath bezolami



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Tom Hebert Principal Bayard Ridge Group LLC 4440 Faraday Place NW Washington, DC 20016-4053

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Hebert:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



## JANTED STATES SHARQHARMINE PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

April 30, 2013

Reference of America

Form Habert Principal Stayard Rodge Group LLC MASS Faraday Place NW Washington, DC 20016-4053

R.F. Amended Prosedam of Information Act Response # 2012-1317 and 2012-1516.

Ucar Mr. Hebert.

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOFA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Potection Agency (EFA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Aminal Feeding Operations (CAFOR) The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Menuna and Networks has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional names of individuals. Networks numbers, presonal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states are not FOFA Exemption 5.5 (1.5.C. § 352(b)(6)).

I are requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be descrived. Please return the previously released disks to Becky Mitschelle EPA, MiC 1203ML 1700 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-6918 of you have any questions concerning this matter.

Vimpani P

Allison P. Wiedeman, Ch. et

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewarer Management

New bostoland



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

David Inall Senior Vice President United Egg Producers 1720 Windward Concourse, Suite 230 Alpharetta, GA 30005

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Inall:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



#### UNITED STATES ENVISONMENTAL PROTECTION ACCOUNTY

April 80, 2016

De felleall Senur Vice President United lag Producers 1720 Windward Concourse, State 230. Alphoena, GA 20005

NIS Amended Freedom of Information Not Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Insell:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the choice reterenced Freedom of Information 4.1 (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Preding Concentrated Animal Freeding Concentrations (CAFUs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EFA released for operation, in Montana and rebresks has been further reducted. The FPA has reducted additional names of individuals relephone numbers; nersonal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two state elephone numbers; nersonal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two state of succentral to FOIA Exemption 6.5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and fast any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be assured. Please return the previously selessed disks to: Becky Minschele, EPA, 1961-4263 M [1.00] Senneylyams A vo. Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you bave destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-000 on Becky Minschele at 120.3 564-6418 of contains any questions concerning this matter.

Viernom 2

Allison P. Wiedemun, Chie

**Eutral Branch** 

Office of Wastewater Management

statio bake to an



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Lisa Kelley
Vice President & Chief of Staff, Government Affairs
National Council of Farmer Cooperatives
50 F Street NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20001

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Ms. Kelley:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



April 30, 2013

mb to be returne

Lina Kelley
Vice President & Chief of Staff, Government Affair
National Council of Farmer Cooperatives
50 F Street NW., Suite 900
Vicebre trees DC 2000

RE. Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1457 and 2012-1516

Dogs Ms Kelley:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the aboveeferenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Instrumental
Frotection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Asimal Freeding
Operations (CAFOS). The enclosed disk contains all information released friends the first
smended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and
'vebrucks has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional names of individuals
indephone mumbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two state
currence to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 5526506.

am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to. Becky Mitschere, ESA, MC 4203M.

[200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460, We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0301 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Vision mi2

Allson P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rugal Branch

Office of Wastewater Management

lengiosed disk



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Ashley McDonald Deputy Environmental Counsel National Cattlemen's Beef Association 1301 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20004

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Ms. McDonald:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



April 39, 2013

Potential Rolling

Ashley McDonald
Deputy Environmental Council
National Cantements Beef Association
15/1 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 300
Weshmann, D.C. 20004

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Art Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Dear Ms McDouald:

The purpose of this fetter is to provide you a second amended response to the acovereferenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (FPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Mordana and Southeasta has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional names of individuals, relephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states cursuant to FOIA Exemption 6, 5 U.S.C. 8 502(b)(6).

Lam requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that say electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Piesse roturn the previously released disks to: Besky Mitschele, E.P.A., MC 4203M. 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington 10C 20460. We also request that you confirm that you between destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-690; or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-690; or Becky Mitschele.

v Haston 2

Allison Wiedeman, Cluet

Kurd Brauch

Office of Wastewater Management

Enclosed dusk

marrier (1972) - 1972) summer marrier metal gov. The control of Office and marrier metallic and the control of the control of the State of the Control of th



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Don Parrish Senior Director, Regulatory Relations American Farm Bureau Federation 600 Maryland Ave. SW, Suite 1000W Washington DC 20024

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Parrish:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



April 30c 2013

added a little con-

Don Partish Senior Director, Regulatory Relations American Farm Bureau Federation 500 Maryland Avc. SW. Suite 1000W Washington DC 20024

RGE. Amonded Excedem of Information April Response # 2012-1537 and 2012-1516

Dear Mr. Parrish:

The purpose of this letter is to provide your second amended response to the abovereferenced Precident of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeting
Operations (CAFOS). The enclosed disk contains all information referred through the first
smearted response, except that the data the EPA refersed for operations in Modisma and
Vehrush a has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional names of individuals,
telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notalities about private matters in the selves two state
oursustant in FOIA Exemption 6. S.U.S.C. § 752(3)(6).

Lam requesting that you return the previdually released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks laddesurely Please return the previously released disks to Backy Mitschele, EFA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460 We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please destact me at (202) 564 0°01 or Becky Mitschell at 102) 564-6418 if you have any questions conforming this matter.

Vistobnić

Alligart?, Wiedeman, Chic.

Rund Branch

Offlue of Wastewater Management



April 30, 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Christian Richter
The Policy Group, LLC
700 12th Street NW, Suite 700
Washington, DC 20005

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Richter:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first amended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Montana and Nebraska has been further redacted. The EPA has redacted additional names of individuals, telephone numbers, personal addresses, and notations about private matters in these two states pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper files containing information from these disks be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely.

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management



April 30, 2013

DELEVATION TOWNS

Christian Richter The Policy Group, LLC 700-12th Street NW, Suite 700 Washington, DC 20003

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response #2012-1307 and 2012-1516.

Dear Mr. Richter

The purpose of this letter is to provide your second amended response to the abovereferenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental
Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states reparding Concentrated Animal Feeding
Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains all information released through the first
smended response, except that the data the EPA released for operations in Monuna and
Nobrasita has been further reducted. The EPA has reducted additional names of indeviduals,
telephone numbers, personal addresses, and nowitions about private matters in these two state,
pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C § 552(b)(6).

! am requesting that you return the previolisty released disks (from the week of February 10 and April 4) and that any electronic or paper filtrs containing information from these disks be descroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1700 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have descroyed all copies of this information. Please contact me at (202) 564-6901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Visitiburi?

Alisen P. Wiedeman, Chie

Russ Branch

Office of Wastewater Management

shrib basedon?

From:

Nagle, Deborah

Sent:

Friday, June 06, 2014 5:18 PM

To:

Mitschele, Becky; Yager, Scott

Subject:

Progressive Farmer FOIA \_ Responsive Records 7 of 11FW: (Requestors) Amended

Freedom of information Act Response #2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Attachments:

Devine.pdf; Gartner.pdf; Steuer.pdf

From: Wiedeman, Allison

Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2013 4:56 PM

To: egartner@earthjustice.org; ksteuer@pewtrusts.org; jdevine@nrdc.org

Cc: Gilinsky, Ellen; Bittleman, Sarah; Sawyers, Andrew; Nagle, Deborah; Neugeboren, Steven; Mitschele, Becky

Subject: (Requestors) Amended Freedom of information Act Response #2012-1337 and 2012-1516

Dear Eve, Jon and Karen,

After careful review of the state CAFO data requested and released via FOIA to you, EPA will be providing a second amended version of this data.

As a result of our review, EPA has added several responsive documents that were inadvertently omitted and redacted some additional privacy-related information related to facilities in Montana and Nebraska.

Please find attached the letter that was mailed to each of you today along with a new CD of responsive records. If you have any questions please feel free to call me at 202-564-0901.

Allison Wiedeman, Chief Rural Branch Water Permits Division, Office of Water 202-564-0901 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington, DC 20460

From: Sent: To:

Regret Choolest Finday, June 06, 2014 5:13 PM Mitschelle Bedky, Yager, Scott Progressive Farmer FOIA Re

Prograssive Farmer FOIA Response

Freedom of information Act Respons

Daving od) Gartner pdf Steuer pd

From: Wiedeman Allison

Sent Tuesday April 30, 2013 4:56 PM

To: ega: Iner/Bearthjustice.org; listener@pewtrusts.org; jdevide@nrdc.org

Co. Chinsky, Billon, Birtleman, Sarah, Sawyers, Andrew, Nagle, Deporah, Neugeboren, Steven, Mitschele, Benity, Sevenstoost & greater for a sevent of Information Art Response \$2012/1332 and 2013/13/18

Dear Lead Raren.

After careful review of the state CAPO data requested and released sta FOFA to you. FEA will be providing a secural intended previous of this data.

As a result of our review, EPA has added several responsive documents that were madvertently omitted and noticities some additional privacy-related information related to facilities in Montana and Nepraska.

Please that anadred the letter that was mailed to each of you tellty along with notice (CO of responsive records 1f you have any mestions please feet free to call me at 202-564-0901.

Мисов Мефенал, Сивф

79101G - 104

Mates Persons to usable, Office of Water

THE CASE CO.

September of the Ave.



OFFICE OF WATER

April 30, 2013

Jon P. Devine, Jr.
Senior Attorney, Water Program
Natural Resources Defense Council
1152 15th Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20005

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2013-001516

Dear Mr. Devine:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains largely the same information released in the first amended response. The information released in this second amended response, however, reflects an additional quality-assurance review that EPA has conducted to ensure that requestors have obtained all responsive information, but none that is subject to protection pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6). As a result of this review, the EPA has added several responsive documents that were inadvertently omitted and redacted some additional privacy-related information related to facilities in Montana and Nebraska.

This amended response, as was the case for the previous responses, does not include certain documents or portions of documents, which have been determined to be exempt from mandatory disclosure pursuant to FOIA Exemption 5, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) ("inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other an agency in litigation with the agency") and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a). The withheld Exemption 5 documents include materials that would be protected under the attorney-client privilege and deliberative process privilege. See attachment to this letter.

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the January 31 and April 4 release) and that any copy of electronic or paper files of the January 31 or April 4 release be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information.

This letter concludes our response to your request. You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service, or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Rom 6416J, Washington, DC 20001. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the tracking number listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management

Attachment

#### ATTACHMENT: Itemized List by Category of Withheld Material

Date Range	Description of Document/Document Category	Exemption	Approximate (Approx.) Number of Documents	Approx. Number of Pages
5/1/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents, including briefing documents or notes during state conference calls, that refer or relate to the completeness, accuracy, or public accessibility of CAFO information maintained by states.	5 U.S.C. § 146 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § te to 2.105(a)		293
2/1/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents that refer or relate to how EPA should gather CAFO information and EPA's progress on obtaining existing CAFO information.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	88 206	
5/15/2012 to 7/30/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents that refer or relate to the Memorandum of Understanding between EPA and the Association of Clean Water Administrators.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	18	39
10/15/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of briefing documents, desk statements, communication strategies, internal agency workgroup meeting materials, website revisions, Federal Register Notice versions, talking points, EPA office to office memoranda, and/or comment summary documents that refer or relate to the Agency's withdrawal of the proposed NPDES CAFO Reporting Rule.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	222	3,264
5/01/12 to 12/01/12	Records containing facility-specific information that includes telephone numbers, email address, names and addresses of individuals, and notations related to personal matters for AFOs/CAFOs.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	15 45	

#### ATTACHIMENT: Itemined List by Category of Withheld Material

		2000 M
Internal, pre-decisional deafts of documents that refer or relate to how EPA should gather CAFO information and EPA's progress on obtaining existing CAFO information.		206
		98
Records containing facility-specific information that includes telephone numbers, areast address, names and addresses of individuals, and notations related to personal matters for AFOs/CAFOs.		45



OFFICE OF WATER

April 30, 2013

Eve C. Gartner, Esq.
Earthjustice
156 William St., Suite 800
New York, NY 10038-5326

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2012-1337 (previously HQ-FOI-01980-12)

Dear Ms. Gartner:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains largely the same information released in the first amended response. The information released in this second amended response, however, reflects an additional quality-assurance review that EPA has conducted to ensure that requestors have obtained all responsive information, but none that is subject to protection pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6). As a result of this review, the EPA has added several responsive documents that were inadvertently omitted and redacted some additional privacy-related information related to facilities in Montana and Nebraska.

This amended response, as was the case for the previous responses, does not include certain documents or portions of documents, which have been determined to be exempt from mandatory disclosure pursuant to FOIA Exemption 5, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) ("inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other an agency in litigation with the agency") and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a). The withheld Exemption 5 documents include materials that would be protected under the attorney-client privilege and deliberative process privilege. See attachment to this letter.

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the February 4 and April 4 release) and that any copy of electronic or paper files of the February 4 or April 4 release be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information.

This letter concludes our response to your request. You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service, or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Rom 6416J, Washington, DC 20001. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the tracking number listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management

Attachment

### ATTACHMENT: Itemized List by Category of Withheld Material

Date Range	Description of Document/Document Category	Exemption	Approximate (Approx.) Number of Documents	Approx. Number of Pages
5/1/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents, including briefing documents or notes during state conference calls, that refer or relate to the completeness, accuracy, or public accessibility of CAFO information maintained by states.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	146	293
2/1/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents that refer or relate to how EPA should gather CAFO information and EPA's progress on obtaining existing CAFO information.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	88	206
5/15/2012 to 7/30/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents that refer or relate to the Memorandum of Understanding between EPA and the Association of Clean Water Administrators.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	18	39
10/15/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of briefing documents, desk statements, communication strategies, internal agency workgroup meeting materials, website revisions, Federal Register Notice versions, talking points, EPA office to office memoranda, and/or comment summary documents that refer or relate to the Agency's withdrawal of the proposed NPDES CAFO Reporting Rule.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	222	3,264
5/01/12 to 12/01/12	Records containing facility-specific information that includes telephone numbers, email address, names and addresses of individuals, and notations related to personal matters for AFOs/CAFOs.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	15	45

#### ATTACHMENT: Itemized List by Category of Withhold Material

		E <b>₽</b> S
Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents that refer or relate to how EPA should gather CAFO information and EPA's progress on obtaining existing CAFO information.		206
Internal, pre-decisional drafts of briefing documents, desix statements, communication strategies, internal agency workgroup meeting materials, website revisions, Faderal Register Niotice versions, talking points, EPA office to office memoranda, and/or comment summary documents that refer or relate to the Agency's withdrawal of the proposed NPDHS withdrawal of the proposed NPDHS		
		-



OFFICE OF WATER

April 30, 2013

Karen Steuer
Director, Government Relations
The Pew Charitable Trusts
901 E St. NW
Washington, DC 20004-2008

RE: Amended Freedom of Information Act Response # 2013-001516

Dear Ms. Steuer:

The purpose of this letter is to provide you a second amended response to the above-referenced Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request for data the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) collected from states regarding Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs). The enclosed disk contains largely the same information released in the first amended response. The information released in this second amended response, however, reflects an additional quality-assurance review that EPA has conducted to ensure that requestors have obtained all responsive information, but none that is subject to protection pursuant to FOIA Exemption 6. 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6). As a result of this review, the EPA has added several responsive documents that were inadvertently omitted and redacted some additional privacy-related information related to facilities in Montana and Nebraska.

This amended response, as was the case for the previous responses, does not include certain documents or portions of documents, which have been determined to be exempt from mandatory disclosure pursuant to FOIA Exemption 5, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) ("inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a party other an agency in litigation with the agency") and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a). The withheld Exemption 5 documents include materials that would be protected under the attorney-client privilege and deliberative process privilege. See attachment to this letter.

I am requesting that you return the previously released disks (from the January 31 and April 4 release) and that any copy of electronic or paper files of the January 31 or April 4 release be destroyed. Please return the previously released disks to: Becky Mitschele, EPA, MC 4203M, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 20460. We also request that you confirm that you have destroyed all copies of this information.

This letter concludes our response to your request. You may appeal this response to the National Freedom of Information Officer, U.S. EPA, FOIA and Privacy, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. (2822T), Washington, DC 20460 (U.S. Postal Service Only), FAX: (202) 566-2147, E-mail: hq.foia@epa.gov. Only items mailed through the United States Postal Service may be delivered to 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. If you are submitting your appeal via hand delivery, courier service, or overnight delivery, you must address your correspondence to 1301 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Rom 6416J, Washington, DC 20001. Your appeal must be made in writing, and it must be submitted no later than 30 calendar days from the date of this letter. The Agency will not consider appeals received after the 30 calendar day limit. The appeal letter should include the tracking number listed above. For quickest possible handling, the appeal letter and its envelope should be marked "Freedom of Information Act Appeal."

Please contact me at (202) 564-0901 or Becky Mitschele at (202) 564-6418 if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Allison P. Wiedeman, Chief

Rural Branch

Office of Wastewater Management

Attachment

s amended response, as was the case for the pravious responses, does not include unnests or particus of documents, which have been determined to be exempt from

nandstory disclosure pursuant to FOIA Exemption 5, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5) ("inter-agency of ntra-agency memorandums or letters which would not be available by law to a purty other a

focusionts include materials that would be protected under the attorney-client privilege and

I am requesting that you return the proviously released disks (from the January 31 and

be destroyed. Planse return the previously released disks to; Becky Mitscheld, EPA, MC 42031

1,200 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington DC 2046b. We also request that you confirm that you h

destroyed all copies of this information.

### ATTACHMENT: Itemized List by Category of Withheld Material

Date Range	Description of Document/Document Category	Exemption	Approximate (Approx.) Number of Documents	Approx. Number of Pages
5/1/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents, including briefing documents or notes during state conference calls, that refer or relate to the completeness, accuracy, or public accessibility of CAFO information maintained by states.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	146	293
2/1/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents that refer or relate to how EPA should gather CAFO information and EPA's progress on obtaining existing CAFO information.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	88	206
5/15/2012 to 7/30/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of documents that refer or relate to the Memorandum of Understanding between EPA and the Association of Clean Water Administrators.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	18	39
10/15/2012 to 11/1/2012	Internal, pre-decisional drafts of briefing documents, desk statements, communication strategies, internal agency workgroup meeting materials, website revisions, Federal Register Notice versions, talking points, EPA office to office memoranda, and/or comment summary documents that refer or relate to the Agency's withdrawal of the proposed NPDES CAFO Reporting Rule.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	222	3,264
5/01/12 to 12/01/12	Records containing facility-specific information that includes telephone numbers, email address, names and addresses of individuals, and notations related to personal matters for AFOs/CAFOs.	5 U.S.C. § 552(b) and 40 C.F.R. § 2.105(a)	15	45

#### ATTACRIMENT: Remixed List by Category of Withhold Material

			1/2012
O.E.			
1.264		Internal, pre-decisional drafts of briefing documents, desk statements, commerciation strategies, internal agency workgroup meeting materials, website revisions, Federal Register Notice versions, talking points, EPA office to office memoranda, and/or comment summary documents that refer or relate to the Agency's variedrawal of the proposed NPDES	
45			

From:

Nagle, Deborah

Sent:

Friday, June 06, 2014 5:20 PM

To:

Mitschele, Becky; Yager, Scott

Subject:

Progressive Farmer FOIA \_ Responsive Records 10 of 11FW: Critical Fixes.docx

Importance:

Attachments:

High

From: Alexandra Dunn <adunn@acwa-us.org>

Sent: Friday, March 1, 2013 1:27 PM

To: Nagle, Deborah Subject: Critical

#### Dear Deborah,

The letter Nancy sent last night contains two sentences when read together makes it appear that ACWA delivered 30 states' data to EPA. We did not deliver or transmit any data. This must be clarified in a supplement to Nancy's letter as ACWA's very existence is threatened by the suggestion that the Association transmitted data.

Attached is a suggested clarification to Nancy's letter.

Please let me know the status.

Alexandra Dapolito Dunn, Esq.
Executive Director & General Counsel

Association of Clean Water Administrators--"The Voice of States & Interstates"

1221 Connecticut Ave., NW, 2d Floor

Washington, DC 20036

202-756-0600 (T); 202-230-4247 (C); 202-756-0605 (F)

adunn@acwa-us.org; www.acwa-us.org

Save The Date: ACWA's 52<sup>nd</sup> Annual Meeting, The Lodge at Santa Fe, August 5 - 7, 2013 Santa Fe, NM

From: Sent. Yo: Subject

Nagie, Deborah Eriday, June 08, 2014 5.20 PM Miluchele, Beater, Yager, Scott

Programme Farmer FOIA Res

Fixes, door

doiH

ngırı

From: Alexandra Dunn cadunn@acvira-us.org> Sent: Friday, March 1, 2013 1:27 PM To: Negle, Deborah

restro doctore

Dear Debotah.

The letter Mancy sent last night contains two sentences when read together makes it appears that ACWA delivered the states' data to EPA. We did not deliver or transmit any data. This must be clarified to a supplement to Nancy's letter as ACWA's very existence is threatened by the suggestion that the Association transmitted data.

Please let me know the status

lesandra Dapolito Dunin, Esq.

Executive Director & General Counsel

Association of Clean Water Administrature "The Vaice of States & Interstates

1221 Connecticut Ave., NW, 2d Floor

35001 DC nominities

(0) 753-0600 (T) 207-238-4247 (C) 287-756-**0605** (E)

BEEL BUILDING WARWING BUILDING WORK TO PROVIDE

Save the Date: 4000 a 62th Annual Missing The Control of August 9 - 47013 Schling The

We subsequently entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal operations in thirty States. Through direct communication with States, the EPA requested and obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty states. In July 2012, EPA entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for EPA to work with states to work on data issues. ACWA did not collect, transfer, or disseminate any state data prior to EPA's FOIA response. All collection, transfer, and dissemination of data was handled by and under the control of EPA.

We subsequently entered into a Memorandulm of Understanding with the Association of Clash Water Administrators that provided a means for EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data as animal operations in thirty States, the EPA requested and obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty states. In July 2012, EPA entered into a Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for EPA to work with states to work on data issues. ACVVA did not collection, transfer and disseminate any state data prior to EPA's FOIA response. All collection, transfer and dissemination of data was handled by and under the control of EPA.

8

From:

Nagle, Deborah

Sent:

Friday, June 06, 2014 5:21 PM

To:

Mitschele, Becky; Yager, Scott

Subject:

Progressive Farmer FOIA \_ Responsive Records 11 of 11FW: EPA's Recent Release of Data

on CAFOs

Attachments:

NKStoner re Recent CWA FOIA Release 02-28-13.pdf

From: WIEDEMAN, ALLISON

Sent: Friday, March 1, 2013 10:17 AM

To: Nagle, Deborah

Subject: FW: EPA's Recent Release of Data on CAFOs

From: Stoner, Nancy

Sent: Friday, March 01, 2013 9:26 AM

**To:** formicam@nppc.org; donp@fb.org; amcdonald@beef.org; lkelley@ncfc.org; mcolville@chickenusa.org; crichter@thepolicygroup.com; dinall@unitedegg.org; rbennett@nmpf.org; pbredwell@poultryegg.org; tom.hebert@bayardridge.com

Cc: Gilinsky, Ellen; Vaught, Laura; WIEDEMAN, ALLISON; Lousberg, Macara; Borum, Denis; Borum, Denis; Peck, Gregory; Bittleman, Sarah; O'Hara, James; Garbow, Avi; Sussman, Bob; Minoli, Kevin; Bittleman, Sarah; Vaught, Laura

Subject: EPA's Recent Release of Data on CAFOs

From: Sent: To:

Nagle, Deborah Priday, June 66, 2014 5:21 PM Mitschele, Becky, Yager, Scott

Progressive Ramer FOIA Responsive Records 11 of 11FW EPA's Recent Re

15 VM - channeloui

NKStoner re Recent CWA FOIA Release 02-28-13.pdf

From: WIEDEWAN, ALLISON

Sent: Enday, March 1, 2013 10:17 AM

To: Nagle, Deborah

Subject: FW: EPA's Recent Release of Data on CAFOs

From: Stoner, Nency

Sento Enday, March 01, 2013 9:25 AM

Yor Ismneam@nppc.org; danp@fb.org; amcdoniid@beef.org; limiley@ncfc.org; moskille@ctrickenusa.org; creater@thepolicygroup.com; dinail@unitedegg.org; rbenndt@nmpf.org; pbredwell@poultyrgg.org;

nom. Nebertrip bayardridge.com

Col Gilinsky, Ellant Vaught, Laura; WIEDEMAN, ALLISON; Louisberg, Macaret Borum, Denist Borum, Denist Petk, Gregory Eittleman, Sarah; O'Hara, James, Garbow, Avt, Susaman, Bob; Minoli, Kevin; Bittleman, Sarah, Vaught, Laura Scrivioco: EPA's Recent Release of Data on CAPOs.



FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Mr. Michael C. Formica Chief Environmental Counsel National Pork Producers Council 122 C Street, N.W. Suite 875 Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Mr. Formica:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

#### FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Mr. Don Parrish
Senior Director, Regulatory Relations
American Farm Bureau Federation
600 Maryland Avenue, S.W.
Suite 1000W
Washington, D.C. 20024

Dear Mr. Parrish:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner



#### FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Ms. Ashley McDonald Deputy Environmental Counsel National Cattlemen's Beef Association 1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20004

Dear Ms. McDonald:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the

Nonetheless, prompted by your concurns, we are investigating whether the FOIA deleases contained

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner



#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

#### FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Ms. Lisa Kelley
Vice President & Chief of Staff, Government Affairs
National Council of Farmer Cooperatives
50 F Street, N.W.
Suite 900
Washington, D.C. 20001

Dear Ms. Kelley:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner



FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Ms. Mary Colville Vice President of Government Affairs National Chicken Council 1152 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Suite 430 Washington, D.C. 20005

Dear Ms. Colville:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

Sincerely,

your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and canchers in meeting the

Nancy K. Stoner



FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Mr. Christian Richter The Policy Group 700 12th Street, N.W. Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20005

Dear Mr. Richter:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

Nonetheless, prompted by your concerns, we are investigating whether the FOIA releases contained additional information that is not readily available from States or other sources and that raises privacy concerns under FOIA. The Acting Administrator has emphasized the importance of this analysis and the need to complete it as soon as possible. In the interim, we have asked the FOIA requestors - and they have agreed -- to refrain from further dissemination of information in the FOIA responses.



My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner



FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Mr. David Inall
Senior Vice President
United Egg Producers
1720 Windward Concourse
Suite 230
Alpharetta, Georgia 30005

Dear Mr. Inall:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner



#### FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Mr. Ryan Bennett Director, Government Relations National Milk Producers Federation 2101 Wilson Boulevard Suite 400 Arlington, Virginia 22201

Dear Mr. Bennett:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner



### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Mr. Paul J. Bredwell Vice President - Environmental Programs U.S. Poultry and Egg Association 1530 Cooledge Road Tucker Georgia 30084

Dear Mr. Bredwell:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

Nonetheless, prompted by your concerns, we are investigating whether the FOIA releases contained additional information that is not readily available from States or other sources and that raises privacy concerns under FOIA. The Acting Administrator has emphasized the importance of this analysis and the need to complete it as soon as possible. In the interim, we have asked the FOIA requestors - and they have agreed -- to refrain from further dissemination of information in the FOIA responses.

garding these FOIA ormation Act. In addition,

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner



FEB 2 8 2013

OFFICE OF WATER

Mr. Tom Hebert
Principal
Bayard Ridge Group LLC
4440 Faraday Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20016

Dear Mr. Hebert:

The Acting Administrator has asked me to respond to your letter expressing concerns about the Environmental Protection Agency's recent release of data on CAFOs pursuant to Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)(#2012-1337, #2013-1516). I want to reaffirm the Environmental Protection Agency's commitment, as well as my personal commitment, to working cooperatively with agriculture to achieve our mutual goals for protection of the environment and of our food supply. I also appreciate your offer to continue to work with us on ways to help support farmers and ranchers in meeting the goals of the Clean Water Act.

The issues you raised in your letter are significant and we are reviewing them carefully. To put these issues in context, some background will be helpful.

The information included in the FOIA releases was obtained from States following our decision not to proceed with a rule requiring reporting by CAFOs under Section 308 of the Clean Water Act. During the rulemaking, states and others advised us we could obtain the information we needed to characterize the universe of CAFOs through voluntary means, including access to State databases. We subsequently entered into an Memorandum of Understanding with the Association of Clean Water Administrators that provided a means for the EPA to work with States to obtain this information. The EPA obtained data on animal feeding operations in thirty States.

As your letter reflects, the information included in the FOIA responses was released in the same condition as it was received by the EPA from the States. It was the EPA's understanding, based on our communication with states, that the information received, and subsequently released, was all publicly available, either through a publicly accessible database or through a public records request to each state. We have subsequently confirmed that a considerable portion of the information is in fact posted on State Websites or is otherwise easily accessible.

Nonetheless, prompted by your concerns, we are investigating whether the FOIA releases contained additional information that is not readily available from States or other sources and that raises privacy concerns under FOIA. The Acting Administrator has emphasized the importance of this analysis and the need to complete it as soon as possible. In the interim, we have asked the FOIA requestors - and they have agreed -- to refrain from further dissemination of information in the FOIA responses.

My intention is to ensure that we address any legitimate privacy concerns regarding these FOIA responses consistent with the Agency's obligations under the Freedom of Information Act. In addition, we are working to ensure that any future FOIA requests for similar information are reviewed carefully to ensure that privacy-related information is protected to the extent required by FOIA.

Again, I thank you for contacting the Acting Administrator with your concerns and look forward to continuing to work with you and your members to mitigate any issues that arise as a result of these circumstances.

Sincerely,

Nancy K. Stoner